# RESPONSIBLE MINERALS ASSURANCE PROCESS

## Assessment Summary Report

The flagship program of the RMI, the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process (RMAP), formerly the Conflict-Free Site Program (CFSP), takes a unique approach to helping companies make informed choices about responsibly sourced minerals in their supply chains. Focusing on a "pinch point" (a point with relatively few actors) in the global metals supply chain, the RMAP uses an independent third-party assessment of facility/refiner management systems and sourcing practices to validate conformance with RMAP protocols and current global standards. The assessment employs a risk-based approach to validate facilities' company level management processes for responsible mineral procurement. Companies can then use this information to inform their sourcing choices. For more information, please visit: www.responsiblemineralsinitiative.org.

### I. ASSESSMENT DETAILS AND SCOPE

| | |
|---|---|
| **Company Name** | Ambatovy |
| **Facility Name** | Dynatec Madagascar SA / Ambatovy |
| **CID Number** | CID003232 \| CID003968 |
| **RMAP ID** | G-RM-10002038 |
| **Facility Address** | Ambatovy Plant Site, Amboarikarivo, Amboditandroho<br>Toamasina, Atsinanana .<br>Madagascar |
| **Assessment Period** | 03/01/2025 - 01/31/2026 |
| **Assessment Date(s)** | 02/24/2026 - 02/25/2026 |
| **Assessment Firm** | Arche Advisors |
| **Assessment Type** | Re-audit |
| **Assessment Program** | RMAP |
| **Assessed Material(s):** | Cobalt \| Nickel |
| **Assessment Cycle** | 1 year |
| **Sourcing from High-Risk Supply Chains** | Cobalt - No \| Nickel - No |
| **Last Assessment Date** | 03/26/2025 |
| **ISO Certification Type and Date** | ISO 14001 Expiration Date: 09/03/2026<br>ISO 45001 Expiration Date: 09/03/2026 |

### II. ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES

The objective of the assessment is to assess the facility's level of conformance with the **Global Responsible Sourcing Due Diligence Standard for Mineral Supply Chains All Minerals**.

| Indicate which operations take place at the site and are under the same management control | |
|---|---|
| ☐ | Mining |
| ☐ | Blending |
| ☐ | Solvent Extraction and electrowinning |
| ☑ | Smelting |
| ☑ | Refining |
| ☑ | Other (please specify)<br><br>Export transactions |

## III. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The assessment consisted of collecting and reviewing objective evidence including documentation, management and employee interviews, facility walk-through, and other observations demonstrating that the facility's due diligence management system conforms, in all material aspects, to the requirements of the applicable Standard following ISO methodology.

## IV. CONCLUSION

| Assessment Result: | |
|---|---|
| ☑ | The assessment was conducted in accordance with ISO19001 Standard, taking into account the guidance provided by the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process. The assessor verified the scope, selected samples, and gathered objective evidence through documentation review, interviews, and visual observations. |
| ☑ | The assessor found that the facility's due diligence system are in conformance, in all material aspects, with the requirements of the **Global Responsible Sourcing Due Diligence Standard for Mineral Supply Chains All Minerals**. |
| ☐ | The assessor identified material non-conformance(s) between the facility's systems, processes and practices and the requirements of the **Global Responsible Sourcing Due Diligence Standard for Mineral Supply Chains All Minerals**.<br><br>Material non-conformance(s) relate to: |
| **Assessor Statements:** | |
| ☑ | The information provided by the facility is true and accurate to the best knowledge of the Assessor(s) preparing the report. |
| ☑ | The findings are based on verified objective evidence relevant to the time period for the assessment. |
| ☑ | The Assessor(s) have acted in a manner deemed ethical, truthful, accurate, professional, independent and objective. |
| ☑ | The Assessor(s) are properly qualified to carry out the assessment. |
| ☑ | There were no limitations to this assessment. |